Exhibit 2

OPUS₂

Skatteforvaltningen v Solo Capital Partners LLP & Others

Day 18MT

May 22, 2024

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 020 3008 6619
Email: transcripts@opus2.com
Website: https://www.opus2.com

Day 18MT

1		frustrated not at the orchestration but at the manual	1	transactions apparently on the books that did not net
2		processes and that I really wanted to automate things as	2	out to zero in the sense that we have been discussing,
3		quickly as possible.	3	is it right that none of the participants involved would
4	Q.	I understand. But the reason you were frustrated at the	4	have been in a position to settle the trades, because
5		manual processes is because they allowed for the	5	nobody had anything like the cash or access to the
6		possibility of things going wrong, yes?	6	shares that would have been required if it couldn't be,
7	A.	Yes, correct.	7	as it were, self—settling through the settlement loop?
8	Q.	The problem about something going wrong is that	8	A. Yes. So if that happened then, as Solo had provided
9		a mistake could jeopardise, at least in part, the object	9	guarantees it would be down to Solo to fund that and the
10		of the exercise which was being conducted, which was to	10	way Solo would fund that would be to go to its
11		ensure settled zero trading, yes?	11	subcustodian, for example JP Morgan, and explain to them
12	Α.	Yes, correct.	12	that it needed a credit line in order to borrow shares,
13	Q.	In order to facilitate the making of a refund	13	for example, or cash, that would be used to settle the
14		application on behalf of the buyer, correct?	14	trades in the omnibus account.
15	A.	Yes, that's correct.	15	Why I say credit line is because any shares and cash
16	Q.	In a way which meant that no one had to bring in cash	16	would have remained within the borders of JP Morgan but
17		from outside and no one had to buy in shares from	17	in Solo's omnibus account at JP Morgan. So that is one
18		outside, correct?	18	scenario .
19	A.	Yes. In fact I would say more than just needs to, but	19	The second scenario would have been that we would
20		it was not $$ not just not needed but it was impossible	20	have had to cancel the incorrect trades which would have
21		to bring shares and cash from outside in the particular	21	led to a loss of credibility . And regarding the credit
22		model that we had constructed. I have explained this in	22	line, it is also worth adding that, for example, if
23		my PowerPoint, the last four slides which I mentioned in	23	£100 million worth of credit line was needed and
24		the morning. If it would help, then I would like to, as	24	JP Morgan only gave us 10 million, then we would have to
25		part of my evidence with you, just walk through these	25	settle those trades £10 million at a time over a number
		199		125
		133		135
1			1	
1 2	Q.	four slides at some point, if possible.	1 2	of days.
2	Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how	2	of days. $\label{eq:main_model} \mbox{MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: } \mbox{Am I right to understand } \mbox{ sorry,}$
	Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because		of days. $ \label{eq:main_substitute} \mbox{MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand $$ sorry, } \\ \mbox{am I right to understand $$ }$
2	Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and	2	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand —— sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't ——
2 3 4 5	Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because	2 3 4 5	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't — MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when
2 3 4 5 6		four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct?	2 3 4	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided
2 3 4 5 6 7		four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that	2 3 4 5 6 7	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees ——
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand — A. It wasn't — MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees — A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand — A. It wasn't — MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees — A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash coming from outside would be in excess of the model.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside Solo, ie coming in from one of the counterparties, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash coming from outside would be in excess of the model.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside Solo, ie coming in from one of the counterparties, which meant that there was a mismatch, the primary intention
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash coming from outside would be in excess of the model. Very good. R JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: But, Mr Shah, if, through some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside Solo, ie coming in from one of the counterparties, which meant that there was a mismatch, the primary intention would be that Solo would then not approve or ensure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash coming from outside would be in excess of the model. Very good. R JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: But, Mr Shah, if, through some human error in sending the trading messages that needed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside Solo, ie coming in from one of the counterparties, which meant that there was a mismatch, the primary intention would be that Solo would then not approve or ensure that the error was corrected because it was only supposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	four slides at some point, if possible. I don't think so at the moment, Mr Shah, let's see how we go. When you say it was impossible, that is because you had set up a system which simply didn't involve and allow for external shares or external cash to come in, correct? Yes. Well, yes, the way I would explain that is that any shares or cash that came in would have had to have been returned immediately, because every account was zero. Yes. That meant that that cash —— that any external cash or shares would have nowhere to go, so it would have to be returned the moment it came in. Okay. And that's the definition of netting to zero. Netting to zero means zero cash or shares from outside. Given that that is the status of the model, any shares or cash coming from outside would be in excess of the model. Very good. R JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: But, Mr Shah, if, through some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of days. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand — sorry, am I right to understand —— A. It wasn't —— MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Am I right to understand that when you referred a moment ago to Solo having provided guarantees —— A. Yes. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: —— if that kicked in at all, that would only kick in if the GSS team had communicated approval to clear trades when, because there was some human error had gone in, perhaps they shouldn't really have approved them in the first place. A. Exactly. The numbers in the guarantee emails would not balance and they wouldn't sum to zero. MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Because the primary —— is it right that the primary intention, if there was an implementation error of this kind coming from outside Solo, ie coming in from one of the counterparties, which meant that there was a mismatch, the primary intention would be that Solo would then not approve or ensure that

136

25 A. Exactly, yes. So the clients who received the

25

get everything back in balance you ended up with

134

Opus 2 transcripts@opus2.com
Official Court Reporters 020 3008 6619

Day 18MT

1		guarantees, they can hold Solo to those guarantees.	1	A.	(Pause). Okay.
2	MI	R JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Yes.	2	Q.	So perhaps Mr Patterson has set out for you or you have
3	A.	And there would be an imbalance in the omnibus account.	3		set out a timeline of the events that occurred on
4	MI	R RABINOWITZ: Okay. Mr Shah, just sticking with the	4		8 March, which included next to each relevant time
5		episode which led to you sharing your muppet picture	5		Mr Patterson's summary of what was happening at the
6		with people, you personally became involved in trying to	6		time, correct?
7		fix the problems caused by the trading that day,	7	A.	Yes. I think this follows on from the previous email
8		correct?	8		where I think it was Nirav or one of the others said
9	Α.	Yes, correct.	9		that they were going to send me a breakdown and the
10	Q.	Can we go, please, to $\{\mbox{MTKC6}/171/1\},$ thank you. If we	10		timeline. I think I then copied and pasted that and
11		can look first at the email in the lower part of the	11		sent that to Raj and Anupe.
12		page, on the next day, that is Saturday 9 March, we see	12	Q.	The first nine or so entries reflect Mr Patterson's
13		you send an email to Mr Patterson and Mr Nirav Patel	13		coordination of the GSS trading. Just have a look at
14		about Friday and you say:	14		them and tell me if you agree?
15		"Can you both come up with a timeline for the CHR	15	A.	Yes.
16		and COLOB trades which happened on Monday so we can work	16	Q.	And you can see there his dealings with the US Solo
17		out the bottleneck. For instance, please include the	17		applicants, so the buyer. One can see the reference to.
18		times that we sent pricing, times orders were sent to	18		"Danish orders sent to Adam LaRosa."
19		brokers, times brokers responded, times tas entries were	19		At 15:14, correct?
20		received and then times approved, times brokers sent	20	A.	Yes.
21		bclear orders to Solo."	21	Q.	And these also reflect Mr Patterson's coordination of
22		It is clear from this, Mr Shah, that Solo was	22		the short sellers . You can see his reference to
23		sending pricing information to the participants in the	23		contacting Paul Oakley at 13:51. Do you see that?
24		GSS trading; correct?	24	A.	Yes.
25	A.	Yes, yes. We have seen that in an earlier email.	25	Q.	And to sending Danish orders to Mr Oakley at 16:38. Do
		137			139
1	Q.	Yes. And you were obviously well aware that that was	1		you see that?
2	٦.	happening, correct?	2	Α.	Yes, but from this I can't tell who is sending the
3	Α.	Yes, correct.	3		orders. Maybe it was the broker that sent the orders.
4		Something had gone wrong on this particular occasion and	4	Q.	Okay. And you see his reference to sending Danish
5	٦.	you wanted to know where the misstep had occurred,	5	٦.	orders to another of the short sellers , Rajeev — Rajee
6		correct?	6		Dave that is — at 15:47?
7	А	Yes. That's correct. And I would say that that was	7	Α	Yes, but again, I can't see from this who sent the
8	,	best practice at the time, to find the problem.	8	,	orders.
9	O	And also presumably because you might have been exposed	9	O	Mr Dave confirms that he replied to Novus at 15:57; do
10	۷.	to someone trying to blame you, not you personally but	10	۹.	you see that?
11		Solo, and you wanted to understand the extent to which	11	Δ	Yes.
12		that might be justified or whether the fault might have	12		Why would the broker send the Danish order to the
13		lay elsewhere, correct?	13	٧.	seller , Mr Shah?
14	Δ	Yes, that's correct.	14	Δ	Yes, that's normal. So the chain of the instructions
15		And if you then look at the email at the top of the	15	,	are the pension plan first contacts the broker, Novus,
16	Q.	page, Mr Patterson responds to you the following day,	16		to say that they want to buy a particular number of
17		saying he will:	17		shares. That broker then contacts potential short
18		" send you details of the events from Friday on	18		sellers to —— with almost a copy and paste email, sayin
19		Skype. Nirav is looking into the timings and will	19		they would like to buy a particular number of shares in
20		revert."	20		the same Danish company and that's why a broker would
21		If we can go next, please, to {MTKC6/187/1}, thank	21		send an order. So I think when they say "order", they
22		you. This is the email you sent to Mr Rajen Shah and	22		are talking about a request for liquidity or a request
23		Mr Dhorajiwala on 11 March 2013. The date is in	23		to trade.
		ivii Diiorajiwala Oli II Malcii 2013. Tiic uate is iil	ر ک		to trade.

138 140

24 Q. Okay.

 $25\,$ $\,$ A. But the language used, "orders", that is not incorrect,

24

25

at that yourself.

American format. Can you just have a very quick glance